## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Demarcus D. Fleming, C/A No.: 8:24-cv-3972-SAL

Petitioner,

v.

Napier,

Respondent.

**ORDER** 

Petitioner Demarcus D. Fleming ("Petitioner") proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this petition for writ of habeus corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. This matter is before the court on the Report and Recommendation (the "Report") issued by United States Magistrate Judge William S. Brown made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), recommending this case be summarily dismissed without prejudice. [ECF No. 14.] Attached to the Report was a notice advising Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. *Id.* at 9. Petitioner has not filed objections, and the time for doing so has expired.

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to, and the court may accept, reject, or modify the Report, in whole or in part. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections, the court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report and must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After reviewing the Report, the applicable law, and the record of this case in accordance with the above standard, the court finds no clear error, adopts the Report, ECF No. 14, and incorporates the Report by reference herein. As a result, this matter is **SUMMARILY DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and without requiring the Respondent to file an answer or return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 12, 2024 Columbia, South Carolina Sherri A. Lydon United States District Judge